

To see the latest GeoStudio learning content, visit <u>Seequent Learning Centre</u> and search the catalogue for "GeoStudio".

Introduction

This example is about constructing an embankment in delayed stages on a soft foundation so that some of the excess pore-water pressure is allowed to dissipate before subsequent lifts are placed. The soft foundation is modeled using the non-linear Modified Cam-Clay (MCC) constitutive relationship.

Numerical Simulation

The problem configuration is presented in Figure 1. The embankment is 5 m high, and the side slope is 3h:1v. The embankment is to be constructed in five lifts, each 1 m thick.




Figure 1. Problem configuration.

The upper metre of the foundation is considered to be desiccated, fractured, and over-consolidated. The fractured nature of this crust is believed to be such that it gives the soil a high permeability. The foundation soil is slightly over-consolidated. The OCR ratio is 1.2, and the initial void ratio is 0.5. The embankment material is considered to be granular, and will not develop any excess pore-water pressure due to loading.

Since the foundation soil will be modeled using the MCC soil model, it is mandatory to establish the state of stress in the ground before the embankment loading starts. Remember that the past stress conditions are required to establish the starting yield surface for the MCC model.

The initial starting pore-water pressure conditions are defined with a water table 1 m below the original ground surface. All materials in a consolidation analysis must comprise hydraulic properties. The hydraulic properties of the embankment are arbitrarily selected in this case to ensure that the embankment essentially drains during construction. The embankment material is treated as a relatively soft Isotropic Elastic material with a Drained response type.

The properties of the embankment material are not considered to be all that relevant, and therefore are kept to be fairly simple. The prime purpose here is to look at the pore-water pressure in, and consolidation of, the soft foundation. There is no need to unnecessarily complicate the analysis with a non-linear soil model for the embankment soil.

A potential seepage face boundary condition is applied along the toe of the embankment and surface of the foundation.

The analysis sequencing and steps are illustrated by the analysis tree in Figure 2.





Figure 2. Analysis tree for the Project.

The duration for each lift is 6 days. The lift is placed on the first day of the analysis, and then an additional 5 days are allowed for consolidation. The final lift is placed on Day 25, and then porewater pressures are allowed to dissipate for 35 days.

Results and Discussion

The pore-water pressure response 1 m below the desiccated crust and 1 m off the centre-line is shown in Figure 3. As is clearly evident, the pore-water pressure rises as each lift is placed and then dissipates with time.




Figure 3. Pore-water pressure response beneath the centre of the embankment.

Figure 4 presents the pore-water pressure build-up along a profile at the centre-line of the embankment at the start of each lift. Again, we see that the pore-water pressure increases as each lift is added.






Figure 4. Pore-water pressure build up along centre-line profile.

Figure 5 shows the final dissipation stage as the conditions migrate toward hydrostatic conditions.




Figure 5. Final dissipation stage.

The settlement along the original ground surface on Day 6 is shown in Figure 6. Of interest is that the maximum settlement is not under the centre of the embankment, but about 6 m in from the embankment toe. This is due to the two-dimensional flow or two-dimensional consolidation, as indicated by the flow vectors in Figure 7. There is some lateral flow where the settlement is the maximum, but under the centre-line, the flow is upward. This illustrates the benefits of a 2-D consolidation analysis.






Figure 6. Settlement along original ground surface.




Figure 7. Two-dimensional flow vectors on Day 6.

Eventually, the settlement is the maximum at the centre-line, as shown in Figure 8.




Figure 8. Settlement with time along the original ground surface.



Summary and Conclusions

This example clearly demonstrates the power of doing a consolidation analysis with staged loading and dissipation. The pore-water pressures at any stage could now be used in SLOPE/W to check on the stability. Also, an analysis could be done by placing all of the fill at once, and then checking on the stability. These types of analyses are left up to the reader as an exercise.

